## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

IN RE LERNOUT & HAUSPIE ) SECURITIES LITIGATION )	Civ. Action No. 00-CV-11589-PBS
GARY B. FILLER, et al., ) Plaintiffs, ) v. )  JO LERNOUT, et al, ) Defendants. )	Civ. Action No. 02-CV-10302-PBS
STONINGTON PARTNERS, INC.,) et al, Plaintiffs, v.  CARL DAMMEKENS, et al., Defendants.	Civ. Action No. 02-CV-10303-PBS
PAUL G. BAMBERG, et al.,  Plaintiffs,  V.  KPMG, LLP, et al.,  Defendants.   JANET BAKER, et al.,  Plaintiffs,  V.  KPMG, LLP, et al.,  Defendants.  Defendants.	Civ. Action No. 02-CV-10304-PBS (Consolidated)

#### ORDER

December 12, 2003

Saris, U.S.D.J.

#### INTRODUCTION

On Thanksgiving Day, November 27, 2003, KPMG Belgium filed an ex parte Writ with the Court of First Instance of Brussels (the "Belgian court") seeking to enjoin each plaintiff in these coordinated actions from taking any step to enforce or rely on Magistrate Judge Collings' November 13, 2003 discovery order and to penalize each plaintiff 1 million Euros if they take any such step to rely on or enforce the Order.

After hearing, the Court adopts the well-reasoned Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Collings, to which defendant has orally objected. The Court orders the issuance of a preliminary antisuit injunction for the reasons stated below and in the attached Report and Recommendation.

#### DISCUSSION

Plaintiffs, as the moving party seeking a preliminary injunction, must meet four criteria before an injunction can issue. The Court must find: (1) that Plaintiffs have exhibited a likelihood of success on the merits; (2) that Plaintiffs will suffer irreparable injury if the injunction is not granted; (3) that such injury outweighs any harm which granting injunctive relief would inflict on the Defendant; and (4) that the public interest will not be adversely affected by the granting of the injunction. See Suarez-Cestero v. Pagan-Rosa, 172 F.3d 102, 104 (1st Cir. 1999); TEC Eng'q Corp. v. Budget Molders Supply, Inc.,

82 F.3d 542, 544 (1st Cir. 1996). Plaintiffs bear the burden of making each of these showings. See Int'l Ass'n of Machinists and Aerospace Workers v. Eastern Air Lines, Inc., 826 F.2d 1141, 1144-45 (1st Cir. 1987) (citing Planned Parenthood League of Mass. v. Belotti, 641 F.2d 1006, 1009 (1st Cir. 1981)).

Plaintiffs have established a likelihood of success. "[W]hile it is well-settled that a federal court has the power to enjoin a party before it from pursuing litigation before a foreign tribunal, such an order often effectively restricts the jurisdiction of the foreign tribunal and should therefore be used sparingly." United States v. Davis, 767 F.2d 1025, 1038 (2d Cir. 1985) (citations omitted). "The equitable circumstances surrounding each request for an injunction must be carefully examined to determine whether . . . the injunction is required to prevent an irreparable miscarriage of justice. Injunctions are most often necessary to protect the jurisdiction of the enjoining court, or to prevent the litigant's evasion of the important public policies of the forum." Laker Airways Ltd. v. Sabena, Belgian World Airlines, 731 F.2d 909, 927 (D.C. Cir. 1984). See Canadian Filters, Ltd. v. Lear-Siegler, Inc., 412 F.2d 577, 578-79 (1st Cir. 1969) (stating that while courts should be "reluctan[t] to interfere with courts of foreign countries . . . there are times when comity, a blend of courtesy and expedience must give way, for example when the forum seeks to enforce its

own substantial interests . . . . ").

An antisuit injunction is necessary to protect this Court's jurisdiction over discovery, and to vindicate the important public policy of protecting investors from security fraud. If the Court does not grant the injunction and the Belgian court grants KPMG-Belgium's writ, plaintiffs will be unable to rely on the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in order to obtain important discovery from KPMG-Belgium. See Societe Nationale Industrielle Aerospatiale v. United States District Court, 482 U.S. 522, 539-540 (1987) (holding that "the Hague Convention did not deprive the District Court of the jurisdiction it otherwise possessed to order a foreign national party to produce evidence physically located within a signatory nation").

Moreover, the equities favor granting the injunction. KPGM-Belgium, which has never contested jurisdiction, disregarded this Court's discovery Order. Then, without pursuing the appropriate routes of review in federal court (i.e., seeking a stay of that Order or filing timely objections to the Order with this Court), KPGM-Belgium filed an ex-parte writ in the Belgian court on Thanksgiving Day, essentially seeking to reverse and nullify this Court's Order. The Court may act to protect the litigants' rights to fair pretrial proceedings and its own jurisdiction.

See Laker Airways, 731 F.2d at 931 (noting that district court may enjoin party from seeking to participate in foreign

proceedings "solely designed to rob the court of its jurisdiction"). While counsel for the defendant assures the Court that the Belgian Court will only hold a procedural hearing on December 16, 2003, and that it will not seek to enforce a Belgian judgment for 30 days after its entry, the penalties sought against plaintiffs are stiff. Plaintiffs will suffer irreparable harm if the Belgian court enters a judgment against them. They would have no appeal of the Belgian court's judgment in this jurisdiction.

Defendant protests that it is on the horns of a dilemma in Belgium because it faces criminal penalties if it turns over confidential accounting documents even pursuant to an order issued by a court that has jurisdiction. There is no evidence that any prosecutor has threatened criminal charges against KPMG-Belgium. More likely, that argument is a pretext. I find that any harm to the defendant is remote.

I must examine the public interest. I issue this order with reluctance because the Belgian courts and law must be treated with great respect. Nonetheless, KPMG-Belgium's end-run on this Court's jurisdiction and on the federal securities laws cannot be tolerated.

#### ORDER

The Court preliminarily enjoins KPMG-Belgium from proceeding with its writ, orders KPMG-Belgium to withdraw forthwith its writ

in the Court of First Instance of Brussels and orders it not to proceed with the hearing scheduled on December 16, 2003. KPMG-Belgium shall file proof of compliance with this order.

S/PATTI B. SARIS

United States District Judge

# United States District Court District of Massachusetts

#### ATTACHMENT

IN RE LERNOUT & HAUSPIE CIVIL ACTION 00-11589-PBS SECURITIES LITIGATION GARY B. FILLER, ET AL., CIVIL ACTION 02-10302-PBS V. JO LERNOUT, ET AL. .\_\_\_\_\_. STONINGTON PARTNERS, INC., ET AL., V. CIVIL ACTION 02-10303-PBS CARL L. DAMMEKENS, ET AL., PAUL G. BAMBERG, ET AL., V. CIVIL ACTION 02-10304-PBS JO LERNOUT, ET AL., CONSOLIDATED WITH JANET BAKER, ET AL., V. KPMG LLP, ET AL.

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION ON PLAINTIFFS' REQUEST FOR EMERGENCY HEARING TO ENJOIN AND/OR SANCTION KLYNVELD PEAT MARWICK

# BEDRIJFSREVISOREN FROM INITIATING FOREIGN PROCEEDING TO PREVENT PLAINTIFFS FROM ENFORCING DISCOVERY ORDERS OF THIS COURT (#647 in 00cv11589, #345 in 02cv10302, #325 in 02cv10303 and #368 in 02cv10304)

COLLINGS, U.S.M.J.

#### I. FACTUAL BACKGROUND1

On April 18, 2003, the plaintiffs moved to compel Klynveld Peat Marwick Bedrijfsrevisoren ("KPMG-B") to produce a number of documents. On October 24, 2003, this Court held a hearing on the plaintiffs' motion and issued an order on November 13, 2003, directing KPMG-B to inter alia "produce to plaintiffs' counsel, on or before the close of business on Monday, December 1, 2003, copies of all audit work papers for the years 1998-2001 in its possession, custody or control and all audit manuals for the period January 1, 1997 to November 29, 2000, including all revisions thereto, in its possession, custody or control." (#633 at p. 16) (emphasis in original). KPMG-B has produced its audit manual to the plaintiffs but otherwise has not produced any audit work papers, as ordered in the Court's November 13 order.

On December 1, 2003, the day by which KPMG-B was to have produced its audit work papers, the plaintiffs received a facsimile

The facts recounted in this Report and Recommendation are only those facts that are relevant to the instant motion.

<sup>2</sup> 

As the Court made clear in its November 13 Order, such documents have already been reviewed by the plaintiffs by virtue of their becoming civil claimants in the criminal proceedings pending against KPMG-B in Belgium, although the prosecutor in Belgium has not allowed the plaintiffs to have copies of the documents.

of an ex parte motion which KPMG-B filed on November 27, 2003, in Belgium with the Court of First Instance of Brussels (the "writ"). KPMG-B's writ sought to enjoin the plaintiffs in this case from attempting to obtain the discovery from KPMG-B which KPMG-B had been ordered to produce by this Court. (See # 652, Exh. C) Specifically, KPMG-B requests in its writ that the Belgian court:

Prohibit defendants, upon forfeitment of a penalty of 1.000.000 EUR [approx. \$1.2 million] per infraction by each defendant, to take any step of a procedural or other nature in order to proceed with the discovery-procedure, initiated by them in the framework of the aforementioned American procedures, including but not limited to:

- 1. taking any step of a procedural or other nature in order to execute or rely on the decision of the District Court of Massachusetts dated 13 November 2003 to produce the audit work papers;
- 2. taking any step of a procedural or other nature in the framework of the order of the American judge in its decision of 13 November 2003 to meet and confer with [KPMG-B] concerning the production of the requested documents....

(#652, Exh. C, p. 39) The Belgian court denied the *ex parte* application for an injunction but set the matter for a hearing on December 16, 2003.

In response to KPMG-B's writ, on December 3, 2003, the plaintiffs filed the instant motion seeking that this Court order KPMG-B to withdraw its "Writ in the Court of First Instance of Brussels and sanction KPMG-B \$5 million per day if it fails to withdraw its Writ." (#648, p. 13) On December 5, 2003, KPMG-B

filed its Memorandum in Opposition to Plaintiffs' Application for an Emergency Injunction (#-), and on December 5, 2003, this Court held a hearing on the motion. For the reasons discussed below, I will recommend that the plaintiffs' motion be allowed.<sup>3</sup>

#### II. ANALYSIS

The issue here is a straightforward one: can this Court order KPMG-B to withdraw its writ in Belgium, effectively preventing KPMG-B from pursuing an action in a foreign tribunal? "It is well settled that...American courts have power to control the conduct of persons subject to their jurisdiction4 to the extent of forbidding them from suing in foreign jurisdictions." Laker Airways Ltd. v. Sabena, Belgian World AirlinesI, 731 F.2d 909, 926 (D.C. Cir., 1984) While such orders, typically called antisuit injunctions are not often issued (see, e.g., Laker, 731 F.2d at 927 ("injunctions restraining litigants from proceeding in courts of independent countries are rarely issued."), there are certain circumstances, such as the ones in this case, in which such an injunction is appropriate and supported by the case law.

As the Laker court instructed:

There are no precise rules governing the appropriateness of antisuit injunctions. The equitable circumstances surrounding each

This Report and Recommendation does not address the issue of the sanctions (if any) to be imposed upon KPMG-B if it fails to withdraw its writ.

There is no dispute here that KPMG-B is subject to the *in personam* jurisdiction of this Court.

request for an injunction must be carefully examined to determine whether...the injunction is required to prevent an irreparable miscarriage of justice. Injunctions are most often necessary to protect the jurisdiction of the enjoining court, or to prevent the litigant's evasion of the important public policies of the forum.

731 F.2d at 927. Thus, the Court may issue an antisuit injunction to protects its

own jurisdiction or to prevent the evasion of important public policies of the forum. In its Opposition and at the hearing, KPMG-B argued that in this case the Court may not issue the injunction sought by the plaintiffs because neither of the two prerequisites mentioned above are satisfied.

However, KPMG-B's argument fails for several reasons. First, the Laker court did not say that these two situations (i.e., protection of jurisdiction and policy concerns) are the only circumstances in which a court may issue an antisuit injunction. And, while it is true that "only in the most compelling circumstances does a court have discretion to issue an antisuit injunction," Laker, 731 F.2d at 927, the Court should carefully examine the equitable circumstances surrounding each request for an antisuit injunction. Id.

In this case, the equitable considerations weigh heavily in favor of granting the injunction. As the plaintiffs argued at the hearing, if they are unable to obtain the audit work papers from KPMG-B (which comprise the bulk of the case against KPMG-B),

discovery against KPMG-B will grind to a halt. And, as the court in *United States v. Davis*, 767 F.2d 1025, 1038 (2 Cir., 1985) ruled, the District Court's antisuit injunction "was a permissible exercise of authority necessary to insure a complete adjudication of the matter before it." Here, in the absence of the plaintiffs obtaining KPMG-B's audit work papers, the case against KPMG-B could not be fully adjudicated.<sup>5</sup>

Second, if the Court does not grant the injunction and the Belgian court does grant KPMG-B's writ, then this Court effectively will be deprived of jurisdiction over KPMG-B. While it is true that technically the Court still will have jurisdiction over KPMG-B, for all intents and purposes, the Court will not have jurisdiction over KPMG-B because it will not be able to enforce any discovery order against KPMG-B. In effect, any order against KPMG-B issued by this Court will be moot. Moreover, KPMG-B will be fully insulated from complying with U.S. discovery procedures because a Belgian court has ruled that it need not produce documents to the plaintiffs. Yet, KPMG-B certainly will still have the benefit of obtaining discovery from the plaintiffs and from other parties in

At the hearing, counsel for KPMG-B referred to the language in the *Davis* case which says that an antisuit injunction should only issue in "very limited circumstances—and only after other means of obtaining the records have been explored." 767 F.2d at 1039. KPMG-B's counsel argued that therefore this Court could not issue an antisuit injunction until the plaintiffs had resorted to letters rogatory as a means of obtaining the requested documents. However, the *Davis* court *did not say that all* alternate means had to have been exhausted. And, indeed, in this case, the plaintiffs have used other means of trying to obtain the documents—specifically, they have become civil claimants in the criminal proceeding in Belgium (as KPMG-B itself recommended to the plaintiffs) but have not yet received copies of the audit work papers from the Belgian prosecutor. Moreover, the Court need not require that the plaintiffs resort to letters rogatory, as will be discussed more fully below.

the case. Thus, the antisuit injunction is necessary in this case to protect the Court's jurisdiction.

Finally, if this Court does not grant the antisuit injunction, it will put the plaintiffs in the position of having to resort to letters rogatory because they will be unable to rely on the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in order to obtain discovery from KPMG-B. This situation is precisely the one that the Supreme Court in Societe Nationale Industrielle Aerospatiale v. United States District Court for the Southern District of Iowa, 482 U.S. 522 (1987) rejected.

#### III. CONCLUSION

For the aforementioned reasons, I hereby RECOMMEND that the Plaintiffs Request for Emergency Hearing to Enjoin and/or Sanction Klynveld Peat Marwick Goerdeler Bedrijfsrevisoren from Initiating Foreign Proceeding to Prevent Plaintiffs from Enforcing Discovery Orders of this Court (#648) be ALLOWED to the extent that KPMG-B be ordered to withdraw its writ in the Court of First Instance of Brussels.

#### IV. Review by the District Judge

The parties are hereby advised that under the provisions of Rule 3(b) of the Rules for United States Magistrate [Judge]s in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts, any party who objects to this report and recommendation must file a written objection thereto with the Clerk of this Court within 10

days of the party's receipt of this Report and Recommendation. The written objections must specifically identify the portion of the recommendations or report to which objection is made and the basis for such objections. The parties are further advised that the United States Court of Appeals for this Circuit has indicated that failure to comply with this rule shall preclude further appellate review. See Park Motor Mart, Inc. v. Ford Motor Co., 616 F.2d 603 (1 Cir., 1980); United States v. Vega, 678 F.2d 376, 378-79 (1 Cir., 1982); Scott v. Schweiker, 702 F.2d 13, 14 (1 Cir., 1983). See also Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140 (1985).

/s/ Robert B. Collings

ROBERT B. COLLINGS

United States Magistrate Judge

December 15, 2003.

#### Publisher Inform ation

Note\*This page is not part of the opinion as entered by the court.

The docket inform ation provided on this page is for the benefit of publishers of these opinions.

1:00-cv-11589-PBS In Re:Lemout & Hauspie v., et al Patti B. Saris, presiding

Date filed: 08/09/2000 Date of last filing: 12/31/2003

#### A ttomeys

VincentM .Am oroso

Posternak, Blankstein & Lund

100 Charles River Plaza

Boston, M A 02114-2794

617-973-6100

Assigned: 10/09/2001 TERM INATED: 12/12/2002

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

representing KPM G Belgium

(Consolidated Defendant)

PaulBehets

(Consolidated Defendant)

Jennifer Ancona Sem ko

Baker& McKenzie

815 Connecticut Avenue N W .

Washington, DC 20006

202-835-4250

Assigned: 06/20/2003

TERM INATED: 10/09/2003

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Mercator & Noordstar NV

(Consolidated Defendant)

Bruce A. Baird

Covington & Burling

1201 Pennsylvania Ave., N W .

Washington, DC 20004

202-662-5122

Assigned: 10/24/2001 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

M icrosoft Corporation

(D efendant)

milotatel io belito inclided

Jeffrey Barist representing Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy

Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy

One Chase Manhattan Plaza

New York, NY 10005

212-530-5011

Assigned: 04/16/2001

TERM INATED: 04/26/2001

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Jeffrey C B lock

Berm an DeValerio Pease Tabacco Burt

& Pucillo

One Liberty Square

8th Floor

Boston, MA 02109

617-542-8300

617-542-1194 (fax)

jolock@ berm anesq.com

Assigned: 03/08/2001 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

LLP

(Interested Party)

Gabriel, Inc. Pension and Profit Sharing

representing Plan

(Consolidated Plaintiff)

Anthony Drum m and (Consolidated Plaintiff)

Attilio Po

(Consolidated Plaintiff)

Daniel J. Perrington (Consolidated Plaintiff)

Gary C.Downey

(Consolidated Plaintiff)

HansA.Quaak

(Consolidated Plaintiff)

KarlLeibinger

(Consolidated Plaintiff)

Larry A.Rosenmann

(Consolidated Plaintiff)

Lee Herskow itz

(Consolidated Plaintiff)

M atthiasW eis

(Consolidated Plaintiff)

SamerM .Ali

(Consolidated Plaintiff)

Stephen N .M askaleris (Consolidated Plaintiff)

Steven Roskin

Sandra Balan

(Plaintiff)

(Consolidated Plaintiff)

James P. Bonner

Shalov Stone & Bonner 485 Seventh Avenue

Suite 10

New York, NY 10018 representing

212-239-4340

Assigned: 04/25/2002 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

CurtisL.Bowman

Cauley Geller Bowman & Coates, LLP

P.O. Box 25438

Little Rock, AR 72212
Soll-312-8500
Sandra Balan
(Plaintiff)

Assigned: 01/15/2002 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

David H .Braff Sullivan & Cromwell

125 Broad Street

New York, NY 10004-2498 KPM G UK

212-558-4000 representing TERM INATED: 08/19/2002 Assigned: 02/01/2002 (Consolidated Defendant)

TERM INATED: 08/19/2002

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

M ichaelP.Carroll

Davis, Polk & Wardwell representing (Defendant)

450 Lexington Avenue

New York, NY 10017

212-450-4000

Assigned: 02/01/2002 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Gene Cauley

Cauley & GellerLLP P.O. Box 25438

HansA.Quaak Little Rock, AR 72212 representing

501-312-8500

Assigned: 03/08/2001 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Steven E.Cauley

Cauley Geller Bowm an & Coates

11311 A rcade Drive

Suite 200

Attilio Po representing Little Rock, AK 72212

501-312-8500

Assigned: 03/08/2001 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

(Consolidated Plaintiff)

(Consolidated Plaintiff)

KarlLeibinger

(Consolidated Plaintiff)

Sandra Balan (Plaintiff)

Donald Chase

Morrison Cohen Singer & Weinstein,

LLP

750 Lexington Avenue

New York, NY 10022

212-735-8600

Assigned: 08/22/2001 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

L&H InvestmentCompanyNV.

(Defendant)

representing

NicoWillaert (Defendant)

PolH auspie

(Defendant)

(Consolidated Defendant)

Andre K.Cizmarik

Solom on Zauderer, Ellenhorn Frischer

etal

45 Rockerfeller Plaza

Paul Behets representing New York, NY 10111

Assigned: 09/10/2002

TERM NATED: 03/14/2003

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

RobertM .Cohen

Cohen & Fierm an LLP

4 Faneuil Hall Marketplace

Boston, MA 02110

usa

Mercator & Noordstar NV representing

(Consolidated Defendant) 617-523-0505

Assigned: 03/22/2002 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

GusP.Coldebella

Goodw in Procter, LLP

Exchange Place

53 State Street

Boston, MA 02109

617-570-1780 representing

617-523-1231 (fax)

gcoldebella@ goodw inprocter.com

Assigned: 10/02/2001 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Michael A. Collora

Dwyer& Collom, LLP

Federal Reserve Building

600 A tlantic Avenue, 12th Floor

Boston, MA 02210

617-371-1002

617-371-1037 (fax)

m collora@ dwyercollora.com

Assigned: 08/16/2001

TERM INATED: 10/12/2001

Jo Lemout

(Consolidated Defendant)

L&H InvestmentCompanyN.V.

(Defendant)

representing

### LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Nico Willaert (Defendant)

PolHauspie (Defendant)

M ichael P. Connolly M urtha Cullina LLP

20th Floor 99 High Street

Boston, MA 02110

617-457-4000 617-482-3868 (fax)

m connolly@ m urthalaw com Assigned: 04/24/2002 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

representing

representing

Ellen Spooren

(Defendant)

Nicholas W. C. Corson Hogan & Hartson, LLP 875 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022

New York, NY 10022 212-918-3000

212-918-3100 (fax)

ncorson@ hhlaw .com Assigned: 05/17/2002 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Rachelle L.DeGregory

Morrison Cohen Singer & Weinstein,

LLP

750 Lexington Avenue

New York, NY 10022

212-735-8600

Assigned: 03/12/2002 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

KPM G Belgium representing

(Consolidated Defendant)

L&H InvestmentCompanyN.V.

(Defendant)

Nico Willaert (Defendant) Glen DeValerio
Bernan DeValerio Pease Tabacco Burt
& Pucillo
One Liberty Square
8th Floor
Boston, MA 02109
617/542-8300
617-542-1194 (fax)
gdevalerio@bernanesq.com
Assigned: 12/14/2000

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

representing

Sandra Balan (Plaintiff)

G abriel, Inc. Pension and Profit Sharing Plan

(Consolidated Plaintiff)

Anthony Drum m and (Consolidated Plaintiff)

Attilio Po (Consolidated Plaintiff)

Daniel J. Perrington (Consolidated Plaintiff)

Gary C.Downey
(Consolidated Plaintiff)

HansA.Quaak (Consolidated Plaintiff)

KarlLeibinger (Consolidated Plaintiff)

Larry A.Rosenm ann (Consolidated Plaintiff)

Lee H erskow itz (Consolidated Plaintiff)

M atthiasW eis (Consolidated Plaintiff)

SamerM.Ali (Consolidated Plaintiff)

Stephen N .M askaleris (Consolidated Plaintiff)

Steven Roskin

(Consolidated Plaintiff)

Jam es S.D ittm ar Goodw in ProcterLLP Exchange Place

Boston, M A 02109 617-570-1944

617-227-8591 (fax)

jdittm ar@ goodw inprocter.com

Assigned: 10/16/2001

TERM NATED: 12/13/2002

LEAD ATTORNEY

representing

NicoWillaert

(Defendant)

PolHauspie (Defendant)

M ichaelD.Donovan Donovan Searles, LLC 1845 W alnutStreet

Suite 1100

Philadelphia, PA 19103

215-732-6067

Assigned: 10/03/2001 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

AliciaM .Duff

Bernstein, Litowitz, Berger&

Grossmann LLP.

12544 High Bluff Prive

Suite 150

San Diego, CA 92130

858-793-0070

858-793-0323 (fax) aliciad@ BLBG law com Assigned: 03/08/2001

TERM NATED: 07/31/2003

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Anthony D rum m and

(Consolidated Plaintiff)

A ttilio Po

representing

(Consolidated Plaintiff)

Daniel J. Perrington (Consolidated Plaintiff)

HansA.Quaak (Consolidated Plaintiff)

KarlLeibinger (Consolidated Plaintiff)

Stephen N .M askaleris (Consolidated Plaintiff)

ThomasL.Earp Earp Cohn P.C.

222 Haddon Avenue

Westmont, NJ 08108

856-854-7100

Anthony Drum mond

(Consolidated Plaintiff)

Assigned: 03/08/2001 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Theodore Edelm an Sullivan & Cromwell 1 New Fetter Lane London EC4A 1AN

K PM G UK

011-4420-7710-6500 representing TERM INATED: 08/19/2002 (Consolidated Defendant)

Assigned: 02/01/2002

TERM INATED: 08/19/2002

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Patrick T. Egan

Berm an DeValerio Pease Tabacco Burt

& Pucillo

One Liberty Square

8th Floor

Boston, MA 02109

representing

(Plaintiff)

617/542-8300 617-542-1194 (fax) pegan@ berm anesq.com Assigned: 12/09/2003

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Class Plaintiffs (Plaintiff)

Sandra Balan (Plaintiff)

(Consolidated Defendant)

David N.Ellenhorn ProskauerRoseLLP

1585 B 10adw ay

New York, NY 10036 Paul Behets

212-956-3700 (Consolidated Defendant)

Assigned: 10/17/2001 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

ThomasW .Evans Cohen & Fierman,LLP

3rd Floor

4 FaneuilHallMarketplace

Boston, MA 02109

617-523-0505

M ercator & Noordstar NV

representing

617-523-2316 (fax)
twe@ cohenfieman.com
Assigned: 03/22/2002
LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Anthony M. Feeherry Goodwin Procter, LLP

Exchange Place Boston, MA 02109

617-570-1944

617-523-1231 (fax)

Jo Lemout

(Consolidated Defendant)

afeeheny@ goodw inprocter.com

Assigned: 10/02/2001 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

W illiam Fenrich

Davis Polk & Wardwell

450 Lexington Ave representing KPM G LLP (D effendant)

212-450-4000

Assigned: 02/01/2002

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Janet B. Fierm an Cohen & Fierman, LP

4 FaneuilHallMarketplace

Boston, MA 02109

617-723-6888

617-523-2316 (fax)

1010 cohenfierm an com Assigned: 03/22/2002 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Jam es O .Fleckner

Goodw in ProcterLLP

Exchange Place

Boston, MA 02109

617-570-1000

617-523-1231 (fax)

ifleckner@ goodw inprocter.com

Assigned: 02/14/2002

TERM INATED: 12/13/2002

LEAD ATTORNEY

Marvin L. Frank

Rabin, Munay & Frank LLP

275 M adison A venue

New York, NY 10016

212-682-1818

Assigned: 03/18/2003

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Robert P. Frutkin

SavettFrutkin Podell & Ryan, PC

Constitution Place

In Society Hill

325 Chestnut Street, Suite 700

Philadelphia, PA 19106

215-923-5400

Assigned: 03/08/2001

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

representing

Mercator & Noordstar NV

(Consolidated Defendant)

representing

PolH auspie

(Defendant)

representing

Sandra Balan (Plaintiff)

representing

Irene G odfrey

(Consolidated Plaintiff)

M arguerite J.Cammann (Consolidated Plaintiff)

Martin E.Kofman (Consolidated Plaintiff)

MichaelWytanis (Consolidated Plaintiff)

Stephen N .M askaleris (Consolidated Plaintiff)

Sylvia B. Piven (Consolidated Plaintiff)

Thom as H. Bown, II
(Consolidated Plaintiff)

IrisG afni-K ane

Davis Polk & Wardwell 450 Lexington Avenue

New York, NY 10017

Assigned: 11/10/2003 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Thom as J.G allitano

Conn, Kavanaugh, Rosenthal, Peisch &

Ford, LLP

Ten PostO ffice Square

Boston, MA 02109

617-482-8200

Assigned: 05/27/2003 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

representing

representing

enting (Defendant)

KPM G LLP

Dirk Cauwelier

(Defendant)

M arc DePauw (Defendant)

PaulJ.G eller

Cauley & Geller, LLP

One Boca Place Attilio Po

2255 G lades Road (Consolidated Plaintiff)

Suite 421A

Boca Raton, FL 33431

(561) 750-3364

Assigned: 03/08/2001 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

HansA.Quaak

(Consolidated Plaintiff)

KarlLeibinger

(Consolidated Plaintiff)

M atthias W eis

Bernard Vergnes

(Consolidated Defendant)

(Consolidated Defendant)

representing

(Consolidated Plaintiff)

John A D .G ilm ore

PiperRudnick LLP

One International Place, 21st Floor

1000 liverStreet

Boston, M A 02110-2600

617-406-6000

617-406-6100 (fax)

john gilm ore@ piperrudnick.com

Assigned: 04/19/2002 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Teresa A . G on salves

Solom on, Zauderer Ellenhorn, Frischer

& Sharp

45 Rockefeller Plaza

New York, NY 10111 Paul Behets

212-956-3700

Assigned: 10/17/2001

TERM INATED: 08/29/2002

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Andrew Good

Good & Commier

83 Atlantic Ave.

3rd Floor representing Louis H. Verbeke
(Defendant)

Boston, MA 02110

617-523-5933

617-523-7554 (fax)

Assigned: 03/07/2002 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Philip L.G raham ,Jr. Sullivan & Cromwell 125 Broad Street

New York, NY 10004-2498 KPM G UK

212-558-4000 representing TERM INATED: 08/19/2002 Assigned: 02/01/2002 (Consolidated Defendant)

TERM INATED: 08/19/2002

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Bernard M .G ross

Law Offices of Bernard M .Gross, P.C.

1515 LocustStreet

2nd Floor

Philadelphia, PA 19102

Marguerite J. Cammann
representing (Consolidated Plaintiff)

215-561-3600

Assigned: 10/03/2001 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Deborah R.Gross

Law Office of Bernard M .Gross, PC

1515 LocustStreet

2nd Floor

Philadelphia, PA 19102

Marguerite J. Cammann
representing

(Consolidated Plaintiff)

215-561-3600

Assigned: 10/03/2001 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Jonathan I.H andler

Day, Berry & Howard LLP

260 Franklin Street

21stFloor

Boston, MA 02110 Microsoft Corporation

617-345-4734 representing (D efendant)

617-345-4745 (fax) jihandlen@ dbh.com Assigned: 11/07/2001 LEAD ATTORNEY

#### ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Bradley A.Harsch Sullivan & Cromwell 125 Broad Street

New York, NY 10004-2498 KPM G UK

212-558-4000 representing TERM INATED: 08/19/2002 Assigned: 04/03/2002 (Consolidated Defendant)

TERM NATED: 08/19/2002

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Douglasw .Henkin

Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy

One Chase Manhattan Plaza

New York, NY 10005 Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McClby

212-530-5011 representing LLP

Assigned: 04/16/2001 (Interested Party)

TERM INATED: 04/26/2001

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

M ichaelL.H irschfeld

Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy

One Chase Manhattan Plaza

New York, NY 10005 Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McClby

212-530-5011 representing LLP

Assigned: 04/16/2001 (Interested Party)

TERM INATED: 04/26/2001

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Tara J.Holubar

Dickstein Shapiro Morin & Oshinsky,

LLP

2101 L StreetNW

Washington, DC 20037-1526
Ellen Spooren
(Defendant)

202-785-9700

Assigned: 06/27/2002 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

William D. Iverson Microsoft Corporation

Covington & Burling representing (D efendant)

1201 Pennsylvania Ave NW W ashington, DC 20004-2401

Assigned: 12/04/2001 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Gordon M. Jones Nixon Peabody, LLP 101 Federal Street Boston, MA 02110 617-345-6125

866-369-4746 (fax)

gm jones@ nixonpeabody.com

Assigned: 12/16/2002

TERM INATED: 09/17/2003

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Robert J. Kaler

Gadsby & Hannah LLP 225 Franklin street Boston, MA 02110

617-345-7007

617-204-8007 (fax)

rkalen@ ghlaw .com Assigned: 11/06/2001 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

David C.Katz Weiss&Yourman 551 Fifth Avenue

New York, NY 10076

212-682-3025

Assigned: 05/02/2001 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Emily F.Klineman Ropes& Gray LLP

One International Place

Boston, M A 02110 617-951-7000

617-951-7050 (fax)

representing

PolH auspie

(Defendant)

 ${\tt FlandersLanguage\,Valley\,Fund\,N\,.V}$  .

(Consolidated Defendant)

representing

representing

Gerhard Heitmann

(Interested Party)

KPM G UK

representing TERM INATED: 08/19/2002

(Consolidated Defendant)

eklinem an@ ropesgray.com Assigned: 06/28/2002

TERM INATED: 08/19/2002

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Sean C.Knowles Davis Polk & Wardwell

450 Lexington Ave

KPM G LLP New York, NY 10017 representing 212-450-4000 (Defendant)

Assigned: 11/10/2003 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

SanjitS.Korde

Korde & Associates 201 Chelm sford Street Chelm sford, MA 01824

978-256-1500 978-256-7615 (fax)

ssk@ kordeassoc.com Assigned: 10/16/2001

TERM INATED: 02/13/2002

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

NicoWillaert representing (Defendant)

> PolH auspie (Defendant)

MichaelG.Lange

Berm an DeValerio Pease Tabacco Burt

& Pucillo

One Liberty Square

8th Floor

Sandra Balan Boston, MA 02109 representing 617-542-8300 (Plaintiff)

617-542-1194 (fax) m lange@ berm anesq.com Assigned: 08/09/2000 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Plan

(Consolidated Plaintiff)

Anthony Drum m and (Consolidated Plaintiff)

Attilio Po (Consolidated Plaintiff)

Daniel J. Perrington (Consolidated Plaintiff)

Gary C.Downey (Consolidated Plaintiff)

Hans A. Quaak (Consolidated Plaintiff)

KarlLeibinger (Consolidated Plaintiff)

Larry A.Rosenm ann (Consolidated Plaintiff)

Lee H erskow itz (Consolidated Plaintiff)

M atthiasW eis (Consolidated Plaintiff)

SamerM .Ali (Consolidated Plaintiff)

Stephen N .M askaleris (Consolidated Plaintiff)

Steven Roskin (Consolidated Plaintiff)

Kevin J.Lesinski Seyfarth Shaw, LLP World Trade Center East Two Seaport Lane Suite 300 Boston, MA 02110 617-946-4800 617-946-4801 (fax)

representing

KPMGLLP
(Defendant)

Assigned: 07/24/2001 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Jason A . Levine Covington & Burling

1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW.

Washington, DC 20004

202-662-6000

Microsoft Corporation

(Defendant)

Assigned: 10/24/2001 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Richard A. Lockridge

Lockridge, Grindal, Nauen & Holstein

100 W ashington Avenue South

Suite 2200 M atthias W eis

M inneapolis, M N 55401 representing (Consolidated Plaintiff)

Assigned: 03/08/2001 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Erik Lund

Posternak, Blankstein & Lund 100 Charles River Plaza

Boston, M A 02114-2794

617-973-6100 KPM G Belgium

Assigned: 10/09/2001

TERM NATED: 12/02/2003

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

PaulBehets

(Consolidated Defendant)

(Consolidated Defendant)

Ellen D.M arcus

Debevoise & Plimpton  $555\,13\text{th}\,\text{StreetN}\,\text{W}$  .

Washington, DC 20004

202-383-8000 Dirk Cauw elier
(Defendant)

Assigned: 07/25/2002

TERM NATED: 10/14/2003

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Fernand C loet (D efendant)

G erard V an Acker

(Defendant)

HubertDetremmerie

(Defendant)

Jan Coene (Defendant)

M arc DePauw (Defendant)

M ichael T.M atraia

Berm an DeValerio Pease Tabacco Burt

& Pucillo

One Liberty Square

8th Floor

Boston, MA 02109

representing

(Plaintiff)

617-542-8300

617-542-1194 (fax) mmatraia@bermanesq.com

Assigned: 12/10/2003

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

AmyM .M dNamer Zuckeman SpæderLLP

1201 Connecticut Avenue, N. W.

Washington, DC 20036-2638

Louis H. Verbeke

(Defendant)

Assigned: 06/17/2003 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

DouglasH .M eal Ropes & Gray LLP One International Place

Boston, M A 02110 KPM G UK

617-951-7517 representing TERM INATED: 08/19/2002 (Consolidated Defendant)

dm eal@ ropesgray.com Assigned:11/06/2001

TERM NATED: 08/19/2002

LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

A mold P.M essing

Choate, Hall & Stewart

Exchange Place

53 State Street

Boston, MA 02109

617-248-5102

617-248-4000 (fax)

 $\verb|messing1| @ \verb|ran.com|$ 

Assigned: 07/24/2001 TERM INATED: 05/29/2003

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

John B.M issing

Debevoise & Plimpton

555 13th StreetN W .

Washington, DC 20004

202-383-8000

Assigned: 06/28/2002

TERM NATED: 10/14/2003

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

representing

KPM G LLP

(Defendant)

representing

Dirk Cauwelier

(Defendant)

Fernand C loet
D efendant

G erard V an Acker

(Defendant)

Hubert Detrem merie

(Defendant)

Jan Coene (Defendant)

MarcDePauw (Defendant)

Julian J.M core

Davis Polk & Wardwell 450 Lexington Ave

New York, NY 10017

representing

KPM G LLP

(Defendant)

Assigned: 11/10/2003 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

W illiam R.M oorm an Craig & Macauley, P.C. Federal Reserve Plaza 600 Atlantic Avenue Boston, MA 02210

617-367-9500

617-742-1788 (fax)

wm com an@ craigm acauley.com

Assigned: 07/17/2001 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Kirsten M. Nelson PiperRudnick LLP

21stFloor

One International Place Boston, M A 02110-2600

617-406-6000 617-406-6100 (fax)

kirsten nelson@piperrudnick.com

Assigned: 04/19/2002 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

EricNeyman

Gadsby & Hannah LLP 225 Franklin street

Boston, MA 02110 617-345-7000

617-345-7050 (fax) eneyman@qhlaw.com Assigned: 12/11/2003

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Diem -Suong T. Nguyen Davis Polk & Wardwell

450 Lexington Ave New York, NY 10017 Assigned: 02/01/2002

LEAD ATTORNEY

representing

Gaston Bastiaens

(Defendant)

representing

Bernard Vergnes

(Consolidated Defendant)

representing

Flanders Language Valley Fund N.V.

(Consolidated Defendant)

representing

KPM G LLP

(Defendant)

#### ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

David M.Osbome Dwyer&Collora, LLP Federal Reserve Plaza 400 Atlantic Avenue Boston, MA 02210

617-371-1000

617-371-1037 (fax)

dosborne@ dwyercollora.com

Assigned: 08/16/2001

TERM INATED: 10/12/2001

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

representing

L&H InvestmentCompanyN.V.

(Defendant)

NicoWillaert
(Defendant)

PolHauspie (Defendant)

Brian E. Pastuszenski

Testa, Hurwitz & Thibeault, LLP

125 High Street High StreetTower Boston, MA 02110 617-248-7253

617-790-0217 (fax)

pastuszenskie tht.com Assigned: 01/27/2003 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

David A. Piedra

Morrison Cohen Singer & Weinstein,

LLP

750 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10022

212-735-8600

Assigned: 08/22/2001 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

representing F

representing

Francis V anderhoydonck

(Consolidated Defendant)

L&H InvestmentCompanyN.V.

(Defendant)

PolH auspie

#### (Defendant)

Barbara A . Podell

Savett, Frutkin, Podell & Ryan, P.C.

Constitution Hill In Society Hill

325 Chestnut Street - Suite 700

Philadelphia, PA 19106

representing

(Consolidated Plaintiff)

215-923-5400

Assigned: 03/08/2001 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

John W .Polk

Baker& McKenzie

815 Conneticut Avenue, N. W.

Washington, DC 20006

202-452-7015

Mercator & Noordstar NV

(Consolidated Defendant)

Assigned: 12/27/2001

TERM INATED: 08/01/2003

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

John A.Redmon

Hogan & Hartson, LLP

875 Third Avenue

New York, NY 10022 KPM G Belgium

representing (Consolidated Defendant)

Assigned: 05/17/2002 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Kenneth A.Ricken

Shalov Stone & Bonner

485 Seventh Avenue

Suite 1000

New York, NY 10018 Hans A. Quaak representing

(Consolidated Plaintiff)

212-239-4310

Assigned: 03/08/2001 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Christopher F.R obertson

Francis V anderhoydonck
Testa, Hurwitz & Thibeault, LLP
representing

(Consolidated Defendant)

125 High Street

Boston, MA 02110

617-248-7000

617-248-7100 (fax)

Assigned: 01/27/2003

TERM INATED: 07/18/2003

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Lisa J.R odriguez

Rodriquez & Richards, LLC

3 Kings Highway East

Haddonfield, NJ08033

856-795-9002

Assigned: 03/08/2001

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Frank Rozzano

Dickstein Shapiro Morin & Oshinsky,

T<sub>1</sub>T<sub>1</sub>P

2101 L.StreetNW

Ellen Spooren Washington, DC 20037-1526 representing (Defendant)

202-785-9700

Assigned: 06/27/2002 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Steven M .Salky

Zuckerm an SpaederLLP

1201 Conneticut Avenue N W

Washington, DC 20036-2638 representing (Defendant)

Assigned: 03/07/2002

LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

George A. Salter

Hogan & Hartson, LLP

875 Third Avenue

New York, NY 10022

KPM G Belgium 212-918-3000 representing

212-918-3100 (fax)

gasalter@ hhlaw .com

Assigned: 05/17/2002 LEAD ATTORNEY

representing

(Consolidated Defendant)

Louis H. Verbeke

Anthony Drum m and

(Consolidated Plaintiff)

#### ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

ReshmaM .Saujani Davis Polk & Wardwell 450 Lexington Avenue

New York, NY 10017

Assigned: 11/10/2003 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Sherrie R. Savett

Berger & Montague, P.C.

1622 LocustStreet

Philadelphia, PA 19103 215-875-5704

Assigned: 05/02/2001 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

StuartH .Savett

SavettFrutkin Podell & Ryan, PC

Constitution Place In Society Hill

325 Chestnut Street, Suite 700

Philadelphia, PA 19106

215-923-5400

Assigned: 03/08/2001 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

PaulW .Schm idt

Covington & Burling

1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW.

Washington, DC 20004

202-662-6000

Assigned: 10/24/2001

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

David A . Searles

Donovan Searles, LLC

1845 W alnut Street

Suite 1100

Philadelphia, PA 19103

KPM G LLP representing

(Defendant)

Gerhard Heitmann representing

(Interested Party)

ThomasH.Bown,  $\Pi$ representing

(Consolidated Plaintiff)

M icrosoft Corporation

(Defendant)

representing

representing

Anthony Drum mond

(Consolidated Plaintiff)

215-732-6067

Assigned: 10/03/2001 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

LæS.Shalov

Shalov Stone & BonnerLLP

485 Seventh A venue

Suite 1000

New York, NY 10018 Hans A. Quaak representing

212-239-4310

Assigned: 10/10/2001 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

KarlLeibinger

(Consolidated Plaintiff)

(Consolidated Plaintiff)

Sara Jane Shanahan

Griesinger, Tighe & Maffei, LLP

176 Federal Street Boston, MA 02110

617-542-9900 A lex V ieux representing

617-542-0900 (fax) (Consolidated Defendant)

sshanahan@gtm llp.com Assigned: 05/01/2003 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Jonathan Shapiro

Stern, Shapiro, Weissberg & Garin

Suite 500

90 Canal Street

Boston, M A 02114-2022

617-742-5800 G erhard H eitm ann representing (Interested Party)

617-742-5858 (fax) jshapino@sswg.com Assigned:05/02/2001 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Thom as G. Shapiro

Thom as H. Bown, II

Shapiro, Haber & Urmy, LLP

representing

Shapiro, Haber & Urmy, LLP representing (Consolidated Plaintiff)

Boston, MA 02109

617-439-3939

617-439-0134 (fax)

tshapiro@ shulaw .com

Assigned: 03/08/2001 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Robert P. Sherm an

N ixon Peabody LLP

101 Federal Street

Boston, MA 02110

617-951-6600

Assigned: 12/16/2002

TERM INATED: 09/17/2003

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

W illiam Shields

Day, Berry & Howard

Suite 2100

260 Franklin Street

Boston, MA 02110

617-345-4614 representing (D efendant)

PolH auspie

(Defendant)

M icrosoft Corporation

representing

617-345-4745 (fax)

wshields@dbh.com

Assigned: 11/07/2001 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

MichaelL.Simes

Davis Polk & Wardwell

450 Lexington Ave

New York, NY 10017 representing (Defendant)

Assigned: 11/10/2003 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

LouisM .Solom on

Proskauer Rose LLP

1585 B roadway Paul Behets

New York, NY 10036 (Consolidated Defendant)

212-956-3700

Assigned: 10/17/2001

LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Jay R . Speyer

Morrison Cohen Singer & Weinstein

LLP

NicoWillaert 750 Lexington Avenue representing (Defendant) New York, NY

Assigned: 09/23/2003 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

PolH auspie (Defendant)

Nicole Robbins Starr

Berm an DeValerio Pease Tobacco Burt

& Pucillo Fbor8

One Liberty Square

Class Plaintiffs representing Boston, MA 02114 (Plaintiff)

617-542-8300 617-542-1194 (fax) nstan@bermanesq.com Assigned: 12/09/2003

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Amy Stoken-Dunn

Davis POlk & Wardwell 450 Lexington Avenue

New York, NY 10017 representing (Defendant) Assigned: 11/10/2003

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

M ichaelJ.Stone

Posternak, Blankstein & Lund

Prudential Plaza

800 Boylston Street

Boston, M A 02199-8004 representing

617-973-6140 617-722-4957 (fax) Assigned: 03/27/2003 LEAD ATTORNEY

KPM G Belgium

KPM G LLP

(Consolidated Defendant)

#### ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

PaulBehets

(Consolidated Defendant)

Ralph M .Stone

Shalov Stone & BonnerLLP

485 Seventh Avenue

Suite 1000

New York, NY 10018

212-239-4310

Assigned: 03/08/2001 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

HansA.Quaak representing

(Consolidated Plaintiff)

KarlLeibinger

(Consolidated Plaintiff)

HerbertThom as

Debevoise & Plimpton

555 13th StreetN W .

Washington, DC 20004

202-383-8000

Assigned: 06/27/2002

LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

representing

Dirk Cauwelier

(Defendant)

Fernand C bet

(Defendant)

Gerard VanAcker

(Defendant)

HubertDetremmerie

(Defendant)

Jan Coene

(Defendant)

M arcDePauw

(Defendant)

DanielP.Tighe

Griesinger, Tighe & Maffei, LLP

176 Federal Street

Boston, MA 02110

representing

A lex V ieux

(Consolidated Defendant)

617-542-9900

617-542-0900 (fax)

dtighe@ qtm llp.com

Assigned: 05/01/2003 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

W .Todd Ver W eire

Cauley Geller Bowman & Coates, LLP

11311 A rcade Drive

Suite 200

Little Rock, AR 72212

501-312-8500

Assigned: 01/15/2002 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Stephen Wald

Craig & Macauley, P.C. FederalReserve Plaza 600 A tlantic A venue

Boston, MA 02210

617-367-9500 617-742-1788 (fax)

wald@ craigm acauley.com Assigned: 07/17/2001

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Sarah E.W alters

Goodw in ProcterLLP

Exchange Place

53 State Street

Boston, MA 02109

617-570-1000

617-227-8591 (fax)

swalters@ goodwinproctor.com

Assigned: 10/23/2001 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Peter D.W einstein

Morrison Cohen Singer & Weinstein,

LLP

(Consolidated Defendant)

L&H InvestmentCompanyN.V.

(Defendant)

representing

representing

(Plaintiff)

Sandra Balan

representing

representing

Gaston Bastiaens

(Defendant)

Jo Lemout

750 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10022

212-735-8600

Assigned: 09/13/2001 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

NicoWillaert (Defendant)

PolHauspie (Defendant)

Joseph H.W eiss Weiss & Yourman 551 Fifth Avenue

Suite 1600

New York, NY 10176 representing

212-682-3025

Assigned: 05/02/2001 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Franklin R.W eissberg

Morrison Cohen Singer & Weinstein,

LLP

750 Lexington Avenue

New York, NY 10022

212-735-8600

Assigned: 08/22/2001 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

G erhard H eitm ann

(Interested Party)

L&H InvestmentCompanyN.V.

(D efendant)

representing

Nico Willaert (Defendant)

PolHauspie (Defendant)

Stephanie G.W heeler

Sullivan & Cromwell

125 Broad Street

New York, NY 10004-2498

212-558-4000

Assigned: 02/01/2002

KPM G UK

representing TERM INATED: 08/19/2002

(Consolidated Defendant)

TERM INATED: 08/19/2002

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Roger E Zuckerm an

Zuckerm an SpaederLLP

1201 Conneticut Avenue N W .

Washington, DC 20036-2638

Assigned: 03/07/2002 LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Jack I.Zwick

Weiss&Youman

551 Fifth Avenue

New York, NY 10076

212-682-3025

Assigned: 05/02/2001

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

representing

Louis H.Verbeke

(Defendant)

representing

Gerhard Heitmann

(Interested Party)